

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,948	06/21/2001	Kciichi Yokoyama	209650USDIV	3311	
22850	7590 10/02/2003	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BUGAISKY, GABRIELE E		
	RIA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1653		
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/884,948		YOKOYAMA ET A	L.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gabriele E. BUG		1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·	ion of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
		r alaction require	mont					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived in Application	n No. <u>09/109,063</u>	! .			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>10</u>	4) 5) 5) 6) 7/2001.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/109063, filed on 02 July 1998.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner has not included a copy of the 6/21/01 IDS, as she is waiting for the staff to supply her with a better quality image of the document. No response to this matter is needed.. A signed copy of that document will be supplied with the next Action.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the city and either state or foreign country of residence of each inventor. The residence information may be provided on either on an application data sheet or supplemental oath or declaration. An address at a food research laboratory, presumably the place of business, is not a place of residence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

With respect to limitations of claim 1, from which claim 2 depends, what does "correspond to" mean? If it means "is", the claim should clearly state so.

The Examiner surmises that claim 1 was intended to read as follows: "A protein having transglutaminase activity, wherein the amino acid sequence of the protein comprises the serine residue at the second position to the proline residue at the 331st position of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, wherein the N-terminal amino acid of the protein is the serine residue at the second position of SEQ ID NO: 1. "

Similarly, claim 2 is surmised to be intended as "The protein of claim 1, wherein the amino acid sequence of the protein consists of the serine residue at the second position to the proline residue at the 331st position of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1."

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 and 2 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1653

The Examiner has carefully considered the submitted opinions of the Chinese and European examiners. It is her opinion that, under U.S. statutes, no true nexus exists between the references to make the specific instant transglutaminase. While the art showed that one could manipulate protease cleavage sites for some pro-proteins, there is no suggestion that such an approach could achieve nearly complete removal of the amino terminal methionine in the bacterially produced transglutaminase enzyme and yield active enzyme. Thus at best, such appears "obvious to try" in making the specific deletion of asp₁ in SEQ ID NO:1. "Obvious to try" is not the standard of 35 U.S.C. 103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriele E. BUGAISKY whose telephone number is (703)308-4201. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu & Th 8:15 AM- 2 PM; We. & Fr 8:15 AM-1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher SF Low can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 708 308-0196

Gabriele E. BUGAISKY

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1653

September 25, 2003